# 膠原病・リウマチ内科JC

2022. I.5 by K. Ohmura

**Epidemiology and outcomes** 



2021 DORIS definition of remission in SLE: final recommendations from an international task force

SLEでは寛解の定義が 定まっていませんでした。 T2Tにおいても寛解がゴール であるとしながらも、寛解の 定義には踏み込めなかった。

Ronald F van Vollenhoven , George Bertsias, Andrea Doria , Andrea Doria David Isenberg, 4 Eric Morand , 5 Michelle A Petri , 6 Bernardo A Pons-Estel , Anisur Rahman, Manuel Francisco Ugarte-Gil , Anisur Rahman, Manuel Francisco Ugarte-Gil Alexandre Voskuyl, 11 Laurent Arnaud , 12,13 Ian N Bruce , 14 Ricard Cervera, 15 Nathalie Costedoat-Chalumeau, 16 Caroline Gordon, 17 Frédéric A Houssiau (0), 18,19 Marta Mosca,<sup>20</sup> Matthias Schneider,<sup>21</sup> Michael M Ward,<sup>22</sup> Graciela Alarcon,<sup>23</sup> Martin Aringer (10), 24 Anka Askenase, 25 Sang-Cheol Bae (10), 26 Hendrika Bootsma, 27 Dimitrios T Boumpas (10), 28 Hermine Brunner (10), 29 Ann Elaine Clarke, 30 Cindy Coney,31 László Czirják,32 Thomas Dörner ,33 Raquel Faria,34 Rebecca Fischer,<sup>35</sup> Ruth Fritsch-Stork,<sup>36</sup> Murat Inanc,<sup>37</sup> Søren Jacobsen <sup>(1)</sup>, <sup>38</sup> David Jayne,<sup>39</sup> Annegret Kuhn,<sup>40</sup> Bernadette van Leeuw,<sup>41</sup> Maarten Limper,<sup>42</sup> Xavier Mariette, 43 Sandra Navarra, 44 Mandana Nikpour, 45 Marzena Helena Olesinska, 46 Guillermo Pons-Estel, 47 Juanita Romero-Diaz, 48 Blanca Rubio, 41 Yehuda Schoenfeld, 49 Eloisa Bonfá, 50 Josef Smolen, 51 Y K Onno Teng o, 52 Angela Tincani, 53 Michel Tsang-A-Sjoe o, 54 Carlos Vasconcelos,<sup>55</sup> Anne Voss,<sup>56,57</sup> Victoria P Werth , <sup>58</sup> Elena Zakharhova,<sup>59</sup> Cynthia Aranow 00 60

# SLE寛解の定義 by DORIS 2021

## **Box 1** The 2021 DORIS definition of remission in SLE

- Clinical SLEDAI=0.
- ▶ Physician Global Assessment <0.5 (0-3).</p>
  - Irrespective of serology.
  - The patient may be on antimalarials, low-dose glucocorticoids (prednisolone <5 mg/day), and/or stable immunosuppressives including biologics.

≦5mg/day の間違い

### Clinical SLEDAIの内容

沽動性項目(SLEDAI)		あり	なし	不明		
中枢神経系	痙攣発作					
	精神症状					
	器質的脳症候群(意識障害を伴う精神症状)					
	視力障害(眼底異常所見あり)				o ⊢	
	脳神経障害				8点	
	ループス頭痛					
	脳血管障害(新たな出現)					
血管炎	潰瘍・壊死など					
筋炎、関節炎	筋炎所見					
	関節炎(2ヶ所以上)					
腎所見	尿円柱(RBC円柱 or 顆粒円柱)				4点	
	赤血球尿(RBC>5/HPF)				4,55	
	蛋白尿(0.5g/d以上)					
	白血球尿(WBC >5/HPF)					
皮膚	新たな紅斑					
	脱毛					
	粘膜潰瘍					
漿膜炎	胸膜炎				2点	
	心膜炎				2/55	
検査所見	低補体血症(C3, C4, or CH50)					
	抗DNA抗体高値(RIA法による)					
	血小板減少(10万未満)					
	白血球減少(3000未満)				1点	
発熱38℃以上						
SLEDAI score					Sum of a	ll points

これを除いた ものが cSLEDAI

### Discussion

どのくらいのステロイドを寛解として許容するか (PSL 5mg/日を超えるものは駄目となった)

Serologyを含めるかどうか

PGA (physician global assessment)を入れる意義

- ・SLEDAIの欠点を補うため
- ・患者さんの視点を反映させるため

# 寛解は臓器障害の抑制やQ0L向上に関連

#### 8 Lupus Science & Medicine Associations of remission with various outcomes Definition of remission **Association** Cohort (reference) N patients Various definitions N/A Better HR-QoL 3 studies SLR5 8 studies SLR<sup>5</sup> Diminished damage accrual DORIS definition\* Better HR-QoL Amsterdam<sup>67</sup> 268 Diminished damage accrual Lower (better) Patient Global Assessment GLADEL<sup>89</sup> DORIS definition\* Diminished damage accrual 1350 Decreased risk for hospitalisation9 1308 LUMINA<sup>13–15</sup> Based on Systemic Lupus Diminished damage accrual 558 Assessment Measure=0 Better HR-QoL 483 DORIS remission\* Almenara Lupus Cohort 10-12 243 Better HR-QoL 308 Decreased risk for hospitalisation Diminished damage accrual 281 Hopkins Lupus cohort<sup>16</sup> 17 DORIS remission\* Better HR-QoL 2000 Diminished future cardiovascular and renal comorbidity Padua cohort<sup>18-20</sup> Clinical SLEDAI=0 293 Diminished damage accrual Various Asia-Pacific Lupus 2160 Diminished damage accrual Collaboration cohort<sup>21</sup> Fewer flares

<sup>\*</sup>In these instances, the definition used was based on the clinical SLEDAI; serology was disregarded and some treatments were allowed.<sup>4</sup>
DORIS, Definitions Of Remission In SLE; HR-QoL, health-related quality of life; N/A, not applicable; SLEDAI, systemic lupus erythematosus

disease activitiy index; SLR, systematic literature review.

van Vollenhoven RF. et al. Lupus Science & Medicine 2021:8:e000538

## 各ステートメントの同意度とエビデンスレベル

#### Epidemiology and outcomes

V . . . .

van Vollenhoven RF, et al. Lupus Science & Medicine 2021;8:e000538

Table 2 Statements, generated as the result of substantial reviews of the literature and data from individual registries and clinical trial data sets, and supported by the DORIS Task Force

			Vote in favour	LoE	GoR	Agreement
١.	血清学的活動性は 寛解に含めない	Inclusion of serology (anti-DNA, complement) in the DORIS definition of remission on-treatment does not meaningfully alter the construct validity and therefore it is not recommended to include it.	90%	2a	В	8.38
2.	寛解維持期間は 含めない	2. While the goal of treatment is sustained remission, a definition of remission should be able to be met at any point in time; therefore, duration should not be included in the definition.	100%	5	С	9.02
3.	寛解にSLEDAIを用いる (BILAGやECLAMより)	3. To date, the SLEDAI-based definitions of remission have formally been investigated more extensively than BILAG-based or ECLAM-based definitions. The SLEDAI-based definitions can therefore more confidently be recommended.	91%	2a	В	9.25
	治療中でも寛解としてよい	4. Remission off-treatment, while the ultimate goal for many patients and care providers, is achieved very rarely. In clinical research and as an outcome in clinical trials, the definition for remission on-treatment is recommended.	92%	2a	В	9.52
	治験において、LLDAS と寛解いずれも用いる	5. In clinical trials, the LLDAS definition for low disease activity and the DORIS definition of remission are both recommended as outcomes.	100%	5	С	9.25
		Final recommendation: The task force supports the 2021 DORIS definition of remission in SLE: cSLEDAI=0 and PhGA <0.5, irrespective of serology; the patient may be on	97%			9.07

antimalarials, low-dose glucocorticoids (prednisolone ≤5 mg/day), and/or stable

immunosuppressives including biologics.

# 2017年のDORIS

### remission

- 1. Definitions of remission will be worded as follows: remission in SLE is a durable state characterised by ...... (reference to symptoms, signs, routine labs).
- 2. For defining remission, a validated index must be used, for example, clinical systemic lupus erythematosus disease activity index (SLEDAI)=0, British Isles lupus assessment group (BILAG) 2004 D/E only, clinical European consensus lupus outcome measure (ECLAM)=0; with routine laboratory assessments included, and supplemented with physician's global assessment.
- 3. Distinction is made between remission off and on therapy: remission off therapy requires the patient to be on no other treatment for SLE than maintenance antimalarials; and remission on therapy allows patients to be on stable maintenance antimalarials, low-dose corticosteroids (prednisone ≤5 mg/day), maintenance immunosuppressives and/or maintenance biologics.

#### Clinical and epidemiological research

#### EXTENDED REPORT

A framework for remission in SLE: consensus findings from a large international task force on definitions of remission in SLE (DORIS)

・Validationされた活動性指標で活動性なし 例)cSLEDAI=0 BILAG2004ですべてD/E ECLAM=0



Physician's global assessment (PGA)<0.5</li>

治療の有無で寛解を差別化 治療なし寛解では、HCQの治療はOK 治療あり寛解では、PSL 5mg以下、免疫抑制薬、 生物学的製剤はOK



van Vollenhoven R, et al. Ann Rheum Dis 2017;76:554-561.

## 2017年のDORIS寛解(4つの指標)

Table 1 DORIS	definitions o	f remission		
	Clinical Remission	Complete Remission	Clinical Remission on treatment	Complete Remission on treatment
cSLEDAI=0	✓	✓	✓	✓
PGA<0.5	✓	✓	1	✓
Prednisone	0	0	≤5 mg/day	≤5 mg/day
Immunosuppressives	None	None	Allowed	Allowed
Serology negative	×	Yes	×	Yes

Serology includes anti-dsDNA and complement (C3, C4).

cSLEDAI, clinical SLEDAI; DORIS, Definitions Of Remission In SLE; PGA, physician global assessment; SLE, systemic lupus erythematosus; SLEDAI, Systemic Lupus Disease Activity Index.

# 寛解の条件 (by DORIS 2017)

١.	實	解力	がE	]標
	兀	/JT ^	, -	コ コスト

- 2. 寛解は疾患に関連した症状のないこと
- 3. 寛解と治癒は異なる
- 4. 低疾患活動性とは異なる
- 5. 継続するとよい結果が得られる状態
- 6. 血清学的活動性とは抗DNA抗体と補体
- 7. HCQ内服していても寛解としてよい
- 8. 中等度以上のPSL内服中は寛解ではない

Ta	Table 1         Preliminary statements on remission in SLE					
	Statement	% in favour				
1	Remission is a desirable outcome for the patient with SLE.	100				
2	Remission in SLE includes, at the very least, the absence of symptoms and signs of SLE.	100				
3	Remission in SLE is <i>not</i> the same as a cure.	100				
4	Remission in SLE is not the same as low disease activity.	93				
5	Remission is a state that, if sustained, is associated with a low likelihood of adverse outcome.	100				
6	'Serological activity' in SLE generally refers to the presence of anti-DNA antibodies and/or hypocomplementemia.	100				
7	Treatment with antimalarials <i>does not</i> preclude the patient from being considered to be in remission.	98				
8	Treatment with moderate-dose or high-dose steroids <i>does</i> preclude the patient from being considered in remission.	98				

van Vollenhoven R, et al. Ann Rheum Dis 2017;76:554-561.

# 過去のRemission定義 (general SLE)

Table 2         Validation of published definitions of disease remission against outcomes in SLE (studies with $n \ge 70$ patients)						
Author (ref.)	N	Remission definition(s)	Remission achieved (%)	Association of remission with outcomes		
General SLE						
Drenkard <i>et al</i> <sup>6</sup>	667	≥1 year of clinically inactive disease (serological activity allowed) that permitted withdrawal of all lupus drugs	23.4%	12.5-fold reduced risk for death (follow-up 11.6 ±6.0 years), after controlling for effects of renal disease and thrombocytopenia		
Nossent et al <sup>7</sup>	200	Physician judgement (not otherwise specified), assessed during the first year of disease	27.5%	Lower annual relapse rates, lower average SLEDAI, lower cumulative SDI scores at the end of 5-year follow-up		
Zen <i>et al</i> <sup>8</sup>	224	≥5 years complete remission with SLEDAI-2K=0 (HCQ allowed) or clinical remission with clinical SLEDAI-2K=0 (serological activity allowed) off-steroids or on low-dose steroids (HCQ/ISTs allowed)	7.1% (complete remission), 14.7% (off-steroids), 15.6% (on steroids)	Damage accrual rates (end of 5-year follow-up): 18.8% (complete remission), 18.2% (off-steroids), 37.1% (on steroids) and 51.4% (no remission)		
Medina-Quiñones et al <sup>9</sup>	532	≥3 years with BILAG C, D or E, no serological activity, off-steroids, off-immunosuppressives (HCQ/NSAIDs allowed)	14.5%	Lower mortality rates (5.2% vs 13.4%; median follow-up 12 years)		

# 過去のRemission定義 (ループス腎炎)

Lupus nephritis				ı			
Moroni et al <sup>10</sup>	70	CRR: UPr* <0.2, normal renal function	38.5% (at last follow-up)	Author (ref.)	N	Remission definition(s)	Remission achieved (%)
Mok et al <sup>11</sup>	183	CRR: UPr <0.3, normal SAlb, normal renal function, assessed at the end of first year of therapy	64%	Femandes das Neves et a p <sup>80</sup>	105	CRR: UPr <0.2, negative anti-double stranded DNA antibodies, normal C3 and normal SCr, for ≥5 consecutive	38.1 %
Korbet et al <sup>12</sup>	86	CRR: SCr ≤1.4 mg/dL, UPr ≤0.33, attained within 5 years of entering the study. See also refs 13, 14	43%			years	
				Koo et al <sup>31</sup>	193	CRR: UPr $<$ 0.3, for $\ge$ 6 months	42.5%
Illei <i>et al</i> <sup>15</sup>	145	CRR: SCr <130% of the lowest level during treatment, UPr <1, inactive urine sediment, off IST (HCQ and prednisone ≤10 mg/day allowed), for ≥6 months	50.3%	Dall'Era et al <sup>32</sup>	76	Different sets of response criteria based on a range of cut-offs of UPr, SCr and RBCs at 3, 6 and 12 months. Best criterion was UPr <0.8 at 12 months	59.2%
Hill et al <sup>16</sup>	71	CRR: SCr ≤123 µmoVL, UPr ≤0.33	N/D	Ī			
Mok et al <sup>17</sup>	189	CRR: stabilised/improved SCr, UPr <1, improved serum C3 for ≥6 months, assessed at the end of IST	55%	Tamirou et al <sup>33</sup>	104	Different sets of CR criteria based on levels of UPr, Scr and urinary RBCs at 3, 6 and 12 months. Best criterion was UPr $\leq$ 0.5 at 12 months	49.0%
Mok et al <sup>18</sup>	268	Same as in <sup>17</sup>	59%	Tamirou et al <sup>24</sup>	80	Subgroup analysis of. <sup>33</sup> Different sets of response criteria based on a range of cut-offs of UPr, SCr and RBCs at 3, 6 and 12 months. Best criterion was UPr <0.7 at 12 months	63.8%
Moroni et al <sup>19</sup>	93	CRR: SCr <1.2 mg/dL, stable or 25% increase of baseline CrCl, UPr <0.2, inactive urine sediment	82 % (63.4% at last follow-up)	Reich et al <sup>25</sup>	98	CRR: SCr $\leq$ 120 mmol/L (1.4 mg/dL), UPr $<$ 0.3	74.5%
Mak et al <sup>20</sup>	149	CRR: stabilised/improved SCr, improved serum complement, UPr <1, inactive urine sediment for	60.4%	Alsuwaida et al <sup>26</sup>	77	CRR: SCr $\leq$ 125 $\mu$ moVL, UPr $\leq$ 0.33	41.6%
Lee et al <sup>21</sup>	77	≥6 months, assessed at the end of first year of therapy  CRR: SCr <1.2 mg/dL, UPr <0.2, inactive urinary sediment, for ≥6 months	52%	Dhir et af <sup>27</sup>	188	UPr reduction by $\geq$ 50% to <2, inactive urine sediment, normal SCr ( $\leq$ 1.5 mg/dL), assessed at the end of first year	54.6%†
Sun et al <sup>22</sup>	100	CRR: UPr ≤0.4, normal urinary sediment, normal SAlb, normal SCr	58%	Moroni et al <sup>e8</sup>	103	CRR: SCr <1.2 mg/dL, stable or 25% increase of baseline CrCl, UPr <0.2, inactive urine sediment	70.9%
Ayodele et al <sup>23</sup>	105	CRR: stable ( $\pm 25\%$ ) renal function, UPr <0.2, assessed at the end of first year of therapy	44.8%	Mahmoud et al <sup>29</sup>	135	CRR: SCr ≤1.2 mg/dL, and 25% increase of baseline CrCl if abnormal, or stable value if abnormal at baseline, UPr	59.3%
So et al <sup>24</sup>	117	CRR: SCr $\leq$ 1.4 mg/dL, UPr $\leq$ 0.5, inactive urine sediment, assessed after 6 months of therapy	50.4%	van Vo	llenl	<0.2, inactive urine sediment noven R, et al. Ann Rheum Dis 202	17; <b>76</b> :554–561.

# 低疾患活動性の定義 (LLDASが現実的?)

Clinical and epidemiological research

#### EXTENDED REPORT

# Definition and initial validation of a Lupus Low Disease Activity State (LLDAS)

Kate Franklyn, <sup>1</sup> Chak Sing Lau, <sup>2</sup> Sandra V Navarra, <sup>3</sup> Worawit Louthrenoo, <sup>4</sup> Aisha Lateef, <sup>5</sup> Laniyati Hamijoyo, <sup>6</sup> C Singgih Wahono, <sup>7</sup> Shun Le Chen, <sup>8</sup> Ou Jin, <sup>9</sup> Susan Morton, <sup>10</sup> Alberta Hoi, <sup>1</sup> Molla Huq, <sup>11</sup> Mandana Nikpour, <sup>11</sup> Eric F Morand, <sup>1</sup> for the Asia-Pacific Lupus Collaboration

#### **LLDAS**

- **I. SLEDAI-2K ≦ 4 (かつ重要臓器に活動性なし)**
- 2. 新たな活動性所見がないこと
- 3. PGA  $\leq$  I (0-3 scale)
- 4.  $PSL \leq 7.5 mg/日$
- 5. 免疫抑制薬、生物学的製剤はOK

Table I LLDAS delimition	Table 1	LLDAS	definition
--------------------------	---------	-------	------------

Domain and items	Mean agreement score* in Delphi Round 2
Disease activity	
<ol> <li>SLEDAI-2K ≤4, with no activity in major organ systems (renal, CNS, cardiopulmonary, vasculitis, fever) and no haemolytic anaemia or gastrointestinal activity</li> </ol>	5.0
2. No new features of lupus disease activity compared with the previous assessment	4.7
3. SELENA-SLEDAI physician global assessment (PGA, scale 0–3) $\leq$ 1	4.8
Immunosuppressive medications	
4. Current prednisolone (or equivalent) dose $\leq$ 7.5 mg daily	4.5
5. Well tolerated standard maintenance doses of immunosuppressive drugs and approved biological agents, excluding investigational drugs	4.5

<sup>\*</sup>Scale 1 to 5, where 1=strongly disagree, 2=disagree, 3=unsure, 4=agree, 5=strongly agree.

CNS, central nervous system; LLDAS, Lupus Low Disease Activity State; SLEDAI, Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Disease Activity Index.

Franklyn K, et al. Ann Rheum Dis 2016;75:1615–1621.